Is Unit Testing Worth The Trouble?

Nina Seyedtalebi

University of Kentucky

November 7, 2018
Background

- The IEEE Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK) provides a concise definition of software testing: “Software testing consists of the *dynamic* verification that a program provides *expected* behaviors on a *finite* set of test cases, suitably *selected* from the usually infinite execution” [13]

- Key points:
  - Dynamic: Input and source code are not always enough to determine behavior
    - Examples: I/O, SLF4J
  - Expected: We must be able to define expected behavior to test for it
  - Finite: The set of possible test cases is practically infinite, so we must choose a finite subset
  - Selected: Test cases can vary in usefulness considerably, so the choice is important
Different Kinds of Testing

- Testing can be classified by target or objective
- Classifying by target gives three levels:
  - Unit Testing: Small pieces of software testable in isolation
  - Integration Testing: Interactions between software components
  - System Testing: An entire system
- Classifications by objective:
  - Regression testing
  - Acceptance testing
  - Security testing
  - Performance testing
  - Stress testing
What is Unit Testing?

▶ From the SWEBOK: “Unit testing verifies the functioning in isolation of software elements that are separately testable.” [13]
  ▶ What constitutes a unit? It depends on context
  ▶ Developers may have differing ideas about what constitutes a unit
▶ Usually performed by the developer of the unit or someone with programming skills and access to the source code
▶ Surveys suggest unit testing is an important testing method that sees widespread use
▶ Unit testing is sometimes conflated with other kinds of testing
  ▶ E.g. a ”unit test” that relies on a database connection is not a unit test under the definition given
Testing Terms and Software Metrics

- Failure: An undesired behavior
- Fault: The cause of a failure
- Defect: A fault or failure

General software measures:
- Code size (lines of code)
- Number of independent paths through code (cyclomatic complexity)
- Degree of nesting
- Average number of parameters
- Fan-out, or how many classes does this class use?
- Fan-in, or how many classes use this class?

Survey data are used to measure things that are difficult to measure objectively
Challenges in Software Testing

- Tests that are written without referring to some external specification can only suggest that the code does what the developer intended
- Exhaustive testing is impractical at best and impossible at worst. Consider a program similar to "echo" in Unix that takes a Unicode string argument:
  - With Unicode 11, $137374^n$ permutations of length $n$ are possible[3]
- Some tests are more useful than others. How do we choose the best set of tests?
- How do we know if we have enough tests?
- How do we know if testing is effective?
- Testing always involves a trade-off. More tests may find more problems, but tests take time to write and maintain
Common Techniques for Choosing a Test Set

- Ad-hoc: Choose test inputs based on intuition and experience
- Boundary-value Analysis: Choose inputs close to boundaries in the input domain e.g. largest and smallest possible values for numerical datatypes

- Code-based analysis techniques:
  - Control Flow Analysis: Choose tests that follow a subset of the possible control flow paths through the code
  - Data Flow Analysis: Choose tests that follow a subset of the possible data flow paths through the code
  - Mutation Analysis: Choose tests that fail when the program under test is changed slightly

- The code-based techniques are often used to assess test sufficiency
Control-Flow and Data-Flow Analysis

- Units contain assignment statements and conditional statements
- Units have well-defined entry and exit points
- A *path* is a sequence of instructions
- Conditional statements determine control flow
- Assignment statements determine data flow
- Control-flow and data-flow analysis both involve selecting tests so their execution follows different paths through the code
- They differ in perspective and how paths are selected:
  - Control flow analysis considers paths between the entry and exit points
  - Data flow analysis considers paths that start with an assignment statement and end with the last use of the variable
Coverage Metrics

- Coverage metrics assess how many execution paths are tested versus how many are possible
- Metrics are based on desired level of coverage
- More complete coverage means exploring a larger portion of the possible execution paths
- Path selection criteria for control-flow analysis:
  - Statement Coverage: All statements are executed at least once
  - Branch Coverage: Every branch is taken at least once
  - Predicate Coverage: Every combination of truth value for every conditional is tried at least once
  - All-Paths Coverage: Every execution path is tried at least once
- Path selection criteria for data-flow analysis are based on when variables are defined and used
Mutation Analysis

- Mutation score comes from mutation analysis, first proposed in a 1978 article "Hints on Test Data Selection" [6]

- Key insights:
  - Programmers usually write software that is "almost correct"
  - Finding simple errors uncovers complex errors

- Used to assess test data sufficiency

- Mutation analysis involves making small, syntactically-legal changes to the unit under test, producing mutants
  - If the mutant causes some test to fail, it is said to be dead
  - If the mutant does not cause any tests to fail, it is said to be alive, killable, or stubborn
  - Mutants are killed when the test set is sufficiently sensitive to detect the mutation

- Mutation score is the number of mutants killed divided by the total number of mutants
What Does a Good Test Look Like?

- Bowes et al.[2] wrote a paper called ”How Good Are My Tests” that contained fifteen principles to follow when writing unit tests.

- Some of them include:
  - ”Simplicity”
  - ”Readability and Comprehension”
  - ”Single-responsibility” (fail for one reason)
  - ”Avoid over-protectiveness” (e.g. redundant assertions)
  - ”Test behavior (not implementation)”
  - ”Tests should not dictate the code”
  - ”A test should fail.” Tests that never fail are useless
  - ”Reliability”, and no nondeterminism
  - ”Happy vs. sad tests”
    - ”Happy” tests verify system behavior
    - ”Sad” tests break the system
    - Both are useful, but confirmation bias creeps in and causes us to favor ”happy” tests
Arguments for Unit Testing

- Helps uncover defects early in the development process
- Allows developers to refactor with confidence because breaking changes will cause the tests to fail
- Can encourage good software design
  - Unit testing requires the unit under test (UUT) to be isolated
  - Tightly-coupled units require more effort to test
  - Tightly-coupled units are less robust
  - Difficulty or undue effort in testing indicates suggest code needs refactoring to reduce coupling
- Tests serve as a form of documentation
Arguments Against, or Unit Testing Considered Harmful

- Unit testing does not positively affect code quality in practice
  - Most tests only assess whether the code does what the developer intended
  - Developers write lower-quality code to meet coverage-based requirements
- Low-quality tests are worse than no tests at all since they must be maintained
- Unit tests provide a false sense of security
- Unit testing costs more time than it saves
- Integration and system testing are more effective at uncovering defects
What Does the Research Say?

- No correlation found yet between unit testing and code quality[8]
- No correlation found between coverage-based methods for determining test sufficiency quality and code quality[8]
- Developers need a better understanding of what makes a unit test good[5]
- Test-Driven Development (TDD), of which unit testing is an integral part, seems to measurably improve software quality in some cases[12],[9]
- Automated test generation is in use, but mostly used in cases where specifications are not required[5]
What About Test-Driven Development?

- Test-driven development (TDD) is a development style built around two rules:[1]
  - "Write new code only if you first have a failing automated test"
  - "Eliminate duplication"
- Important points:
  - Test: Unit tests are written before new code
  - Failing: The test must fail at first
  - Automated: Tools are used to run tests and collect results
- "The goal is clean code that works...”[1]
  - Clean code has the smallest possible number of dependencies
  - Empirical studies of TDD use different measures
  - The ambiguity was probably intentional

---

1 *Test-Driven Development By Example* says,"TDD is an awareness of the gap between decision and feedback during programming,and techniques to control that gap"
...and the Research?

- A 2008 article [9] found modest improvements in code size and complexity but not in coupling or cohesion.

- A 2013 meta-analysis [12] of 27 empirical studies found that TDD “results in a small improvement in quality but results on productivity are inconclusive.”

- A recent (July 2018) article [10] called “What Do We (Really) Know About Test-Driven Development?” offers the following:
  - Use of TDD is uncommon in practice
  - TDD does appear to improve some measures of quality in some cases
  - Evidence for the effects of TDD on productivity is inconclusive
  - The order of testing is not the important part of TDD
  - Using a short development cycle had much more impact on quality than the order of testing (test-first versus test-last)
Conclusions

▶ Unit testing *can* be worth the trouble, but it is not sufficient by itself to improve software quality
▶ An iterative development process with short, gradual steps seems to improve software quality
▶ Unit testing and TDD are tools. Like other tools, they work best in the hands of those that know how to use them
▶ Test quality is very important since we are limited to a very small subset of possibilities when testing
▶ Testing is a balancing act
  ▶ Test selection is an important problem
  ▶ Tests are not free to maintain, even if a machine writes them for you
▶ Automation and tool support is a poor substitute for thinking about design
So What Can Be Done?

  ▶ Developers need help identifying what to test and whether a given test is good or not
  ▶ Automatic test generation helps with the "how" of testing but not the "what"
  ▶ The question of "what" is shared across all types of testing
  ▶ Tests should be realistic

▶ Furthermore:
  ▶ Software design is a skill that must be learned and practiced
  ▶ Though part of design, testing is a distinct skill that must be learned and practiced
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