

**CS321-002**

**Introduction to Numerical  
Methods**

**Lecture 4**

**Numerical Integration**

Professor Jun Zhang

Department of Computer Science  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, KY 40506-0046

October 17, 2000

# Definite Integral

a definite integral has an interval for integration. For a fixed integration interval, the result is a number

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin x \, dx = 1$$

an indefinite integral does not have an integration interval. The result of an indefinite integral (antiderivative) is a class of functions

$$\int \sin x \, dx = -\cos x + C$$

numerical integration is for computing definite integrals

Fundamental Theorem of Calculus:

$$\int_a^b F'(x) \, dx = F(b) - F(a)$$

$$\int_a^x F'(t) \, dt = F(x) - F(a)$$

## Partition

the definite integral of a function can be viewed as the area under a curve. This point of view lends us means to compute definite integral

let  $P$  be a partition of the interval of  $[a, b]$  as

$P =$

$$\{a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b\}$$

we have  $n$  subintervals as  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . Let  $m_i$  be the greatest lower bound of (a nonnegative function)  $f(x)$  on  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$  as

$$m_i = \inf\{f(x) : x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1}\}$$

and  $M_i$  as the least upper bound on the same subinterval

$$M_i = \sup\{f(x) : x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1}\}$$

## Lower and Upper Sums

the lower sums and upper sums of  $f$  corresponding to the given partition  $P$  is

$$L(f; P) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m_i (x_{i+1} - x_i)$$

$$U(f; P) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} M_i (x_{i+1} - x_i)$$

if we consider the definite integral of a nonnegative  $f$  as the area under the curve, we have

$$L(f; P) \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(f; P)$$

for all partitions  $P$

if  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , then the above inequality defines the definite integral. The integral also exists if  $f$  is monotone (either increasing or decreasing) on  $[a, b]$

## Riemann-Integrable Functions

if the greatest lower bound equals the least upper bound for all partitions of  $[a, b]$ , i.e.,

$$\inf_P U(f; P) = \sup_P L(f, P)$$

then  $f$  is said to be Riemann-integrable

every continuous function defined on a closed and bounded interval of the real line is Riemann-integrable

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(f; P_n) = \int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(f; P_n)$$

where  $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n, \dots$  are a sequence of partitions such that the length of the largest subinterval in  $P_n$  converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

we can construct nested (refined) partitions

## Computation

- 1.) need a procedure to evaluate  $f(x)$
- 2.) determine a partition (how many subintervals) of the interval  $[a, b]$
- 3.) compute  $m_i$  and  $M_i$  on each subinterval
- 4.) compute the sums  $L(f; P)$  and  $U(f; P)$
- 5.) an approximate value is obtained

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{2}[U(f; P) + L(f; P)]$$

- 6.) the error of this approximation is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{2}[U(f; P) - L(f; P)]$$

## Trapezoid Rule

a strategy that is better than estimating both the upper and the lower bounds of the area beneath a curve is to use trapezoids

the interval  $[a, b]$  is first divided into subintervals  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . A typical trapezoid has the subinterval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$  as its base, and the two vertical sides are  $f(x_i)$  and  $f(x_{i+1})$ . The area is given by the base times the average height. the basic trapezoid rule for the subinterval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$  is

$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{2}[f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})]$$

the total area under the curve is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) dx &\approx T(f; P) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i)[f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})] \end{aligned}$$

## Uniform Spacing

the lengths of the subintervals in a partition can be different. For fast computation, a uniform partition of the interval may be advantageous

let  $n$  be the number of subintervals, then  $h = (b - a)/n$  is the uniform interval spacing. The nodal points are  $x_i = a + ih, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Hence the composite trapezoid rule is

$$T(f; P) = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})]$$

note that the end point of an interval is the starting point of the next interval. This fact can save almost half of the computation,

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx h \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i) + \frac{1}{2}[f(x_0) + f(x_n)] \right\}$$

## Error Analysis

if  $f''(x)$  exists and is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , the error of the composite trapezoid rule  $T$  is

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx - T = -\frac{b-a}{12} h^2 f''(\xi) = O(h^2)$$

for some  $\xi$  in  $(a, b)$

**Proof.** using polynomial interpolation, (p. 198)

**Example.** show that

$$\int_a^{a+h} f(x) dx = \frac{h}{2}[f(a) + f(a+h)] - \frac{h^3}{12}f''(a) + \dots$$

need to define

$$F(t) = \int_a^t f(x) dx$$

then by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have  $F'(t) = f(t)$ . Note that  $F(a) = 0$

## Estimate Grid Spacing

**Example.** if the composite trapezoid rule is used to compute

$$\int_0^1 e^{-x^2} dx$$

with an error of at most  $0.5 \times 10^{-4}$ , what is the uniform grid spacing  $h$ ?

from the graph of the second derivative

$$f''(x) = (4x^2 - 2)e^{-x^2}$$

we find that

$$|f''(x)| \leq |f''(0)| = 2$$

we need

$$\left| -\frac{b-a}{12} h^2 f''(\xi) \right| \leq \frac{1}{6} h^2 < 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$$

it follows that  $h \leq 0.01732$ . The number of subintervals is  $n \geq [1/h] = 58$

## Recursive Trapezoid Formula

given a parameter  $n$ , dividing  $[a, b]$  into  $2^n$  equally spaced subintervals, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f; P) &= h \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i) + \frac{h}{2}[f(x_0) + f(x_n)] \\ &= h \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(a + i h) + \frac{h}{2}[f(a) + f(b)] \end{aligned}$$

note that  $n = 2^n$  and  $h = (b - a)/2^n$

$$R(n, 0) = h \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} f(a + i h) + \frac{h}{2}[f(a) + f(b)]$$

notice that  $R(n, 0)$  can be viewed as dividing each subinterval of  $R(n - 1, 0)$  into two equal sub-subintervals. If we already computed  $R(n-1, 0)$ , how can we compute  $R(n, 0)$  cheaply?

## Recursive Formula

if  $R(n-1, 0)$  is available,  $R(n, 0)$  can be computed as

$$R(n, 0) = \frac{1}{2}R(n-1, 0) + h \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} f[a + (2k-1)h]$$

for  $n \geq 1$  using  $h = (b-a)/2^n$ . Initial starting value is

$$R(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(b-a)[f(a) - f(b)]$$

the trick is to only sum the function values at every other grid points.

**Proof.** note that

$$R(n, 0) = h \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} f(a + ih) + C$$

with  $C = h[f(a) + f(b)]/2$  and

$$R(n-1, 0) = 2h \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} f(a + 2jh) + 2C$$

## Two Dimensional Integration

for one dimensional numerical integration on  $[0, 1]$ , using uniform space  $h = 1/n$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 f(x) dx &\approx \frac{1}{2h} [f(0) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) + f(1)] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n A_i f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

for two dimensional integration on a unit square

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) dx dy &\approx \int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^n A_i f\left(\frac{i}{n}, y\right) dy \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{i}{n}, y\right) dy \\ &\approx \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \sum_{j=0}^n A_j f\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n A_i A_j f\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

## Romberg Algorithm

recursive composite trapezoid method

$$R(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(b - a)[f(a) + f(b)]$$

for  $h = 1/2^n$  and  $n \geq 1$

$$R(n, 0) = \frac{1}{2}R(n-1, 0) + h \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} f[a + (2k-1)h]$$

using Richardson extrapolation, we can have

$$R(i, j) = R(i, j-1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4^j - 1} [R(i, j-1) - R(i-1, j-1)]$$

for  $i \geq j$  and  $j \geq 1$ . This is the Romberg algorithm, which may yield better approximate values for larger  $j$

$$R(0, 0)$$

$$R(1, 0) \quad R(1, 1)$$

$$R(2, 0) \quad R(2, 1) \quad R(2, 2)$$

$$R(3, 0) \quad R(3, 1) \quad R(3, 2) \quad R(3, 3)$$