## CS 633 3D Computer Animation

## Solution Set - HW 3 (40 points)

1. "Cubic interpolation" is a popular path smoothing technique (slides 38-39 of notes: Interpolating Values III). The approach is as follows: for each point $P_{i}$, construct a cubic curve $P(t)$ to interpolate $P_{i-2}, P_{i-1}, P_{i+1}$ and $P_{i+2}$ at $P(0), P(1 / 4), P(3 / 4)$ and $P(1)$, and then use the value of $P(1 / 2)$ to adjust $P_{i}$. The new location of $P_{i}$ is defined as

$$
P_{i}^{\prime}=\left(P(1 / 2)+P_{i}\right) / 2 .
$$

Why wouldn't we use $P(1 / 2)$ as the new location of $P_{i}$ directly? (10 points)

## Sol.

Since $P_{i-2}, P_{i-1}, P_{i+1}$ and $P_{i+2}$ all carry some error, $P(1 / 2)$ computed from these points carries error as well. Therefore, it makes no sense to replace $P_{i}$ with $P(1 / 2)$, especially when the error carried by $P(1 / 2)$ might even be bigger than that of $P_{i}$. Using the average of $P_{i}$ and $P(1 / 2)$ as the new location of $P_{i}$, in the best case, can cancel out the errors carried by these terms and, in the worst case, would still reduce by one half of the difference of their errors.
2. Using the "Shortest path" approach to find a path from a start point (S) to a destination point (D) on a polygonal surface mesh, one needs to check that, after unfolding of all the faces, if the line segment that connects $S$ and $D$ lies completely inside the unfolded faces. How should this (the unfolding process) be done efficiently? (10 points)

## Sol.

Exhaustively testing all possible unfolding combinations is certainly possible. But this is a very costly approach. A better approach is to run a greedy algorithm to find an approximation of the optimal path (called the greedy path) first, and then unfold the polygonal surface mesh as follows. The idea is that if a shortest path exists, then edges of the shortest path must be inside the faces adjacent to the greedy path.

1. Sort the edges of the greedy path and name them $E_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Each $E_{i}$ is considered a directed edge ( $P_{i}, P_{i+1}$ ) with $P_{1}=S$ and $P_{n+1}=D$.
2. For each $E_{i}$ in the list, cut the polygonal mesh along edges adjacent to $E_{i}$ (include $E_{i}$ itself) in the following fashion:
2.1 For $E_{1}\left(E_{n}\right)$, cut each edge adjacent to the start point $S$ (end point $D$ ) from $S(D)$ to the other endpoint; // see Fig. 1
2.2 For each of the remaining $E_{i}, i=2,3, \ldots, n-1$, cut $E_{i}$ from $P_{i}$ to $P_{i+1}$; // see Fig. 2
2.3 For an edge not adjacent to any $E_{i}$ (excluding edges added during the triangulation process), cut the edge from one endpoint to the other endpoint.
3. Unfold the faces of the polygonal mesh as follows:
3.1 For $E_{1}\left(E_{n}\right)$, unfold each face that contains $E_{1}\left(E_{n}\right)$ about the edge that is not adjacent to the start point $S$ (the destination point $D$ ) so that it is co-planar with a face that contains $E_{2}$ or adjacent to $E_{2}$. In the second case, repeat this process until a face that contains $E_{2}$ is reached; // see Fig. 3
3.2 For each of the remaining $E_{i}, i=2,3, \ldots, n-1$, unfold the face that contains $E_{i}$ about an edge adjacent to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ so that it is co-planar with a face that contains $E_{i+1}$ or adjacent to $E_{i+1}$. In the second case, repeat the same process as in 3.1. Note that all the faces considered here do not have dangling adjacent faces. // see Fig. 4

4. $\mathbf{A}=(x, y, z)$ and $\mathbf{B}=(a, b, c)$ are two points of a bicubic Bezier surface patch $\mathbf{S}(u, v), 0 \leq u, v \leq 1$. A path along the surface $\mathbf{S}(u, v)$ from $\mathbf{A}$ to $\mathbf{B}$ can be constructed as follows: find the points $(s, t)$ and $(p, q)$ in the parameter space of $\mathbf{S}(u, v)$ such that $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{S}(s, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{S}(p, q)$, then map the line segment that connects $(s, t)$ and $(p, q)$ onto the surface. The resulting curve is a good path from A to B. How would you find ( $s, t$ ) and ( $p, q$ )? (10 points)

## Sol.

Using midpoint subdivision method. The idea is as follows. We will illustrate the process for point $\mathbf{A}$ only. The process for point $\mathbf{B}$ is similar.
Let $\mathbf{P}=\left\{\mathbf{P}_{i, j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq 3\right\}$ be the control point set of $\mathbf{S}$ and let

$$
a=0 ; \quad b=1 ; \quad c=0 ; \quad d=1
$$

( $a$ and $b$ here have nothing to do with the coordinates of $\mathbf{B}$. Then use the following algorithm to find the parameters $(s, t)$ of $\mathbf{A}$.
while $((b-a>\epsilon)$ and $(d-c>\epsilon))$ do \{
perform midpoint subdivision on $\mathbf{P}$ to get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{E}=\left\{\mathbf{E}_{i, j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq 3\right\} & /^{*} c \text {-pts for }[a,(a+b) / 2] \times[c,(c+d) / 2]^{*} / \\
\mathbf{F}=\left\{\mathbf{F}_{i, j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq 3\right\} & /^{*} c \text {-pts for }[(a+b) / 2, b] \times[c,(c+d) / 2]^{*} / \\
\mathbf{G}=\left\{\mathbf{G}_{i, j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq 3\right\} & \left./^{*} c \text {-pts for }[a,(a+b) / 2] \times[c+d) / 2, d\right]^{*} / \\
\mathbf{H}=\left\{\mathbf{H}_{i, j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq 3\right\} & /^{*} c \text {-pts for }[(a+b) / 2, b] \times[(c+d) / 2, d]^{*} /
\end{array}
$$

if $\mathbf{A}$ is in the convex hull of $\mathbf{E}\{/ /$ how do we know?

$$
b \leftarrow(a+b) / 2 ; \quad d \leftarrow(c+d) / 2 ; \quad \mathbf{P} \leftarrow \mathbf{E} ;\}
$$

else if $\mathbf{A}$ is in the convex hull of $\mathbf{F}\{$

$$
a \leftarrow(a+b) / 2 ; \quad d \leftarrow(c+d) / 2 ; \quad \mathbf{P} \leftarrow \mathbf{F} ;\}
$$

else if $\mathbf{A}$ is in the convex hull of $\mathbf{G}\{$

$$
b \leftarrow(a+b) / 2 ; \quad c \leftarrow(c+d) / 2 ; \quad \mathbf{P} \leftarrow \mathbf{G} ;\}
$$

else \{

$$
a \leftarrow(a+b) / 2 ; \quad c \leftarrow(c+d) / 2 ; \quad \mathbf{P} \leftarrow \mathbf{H} ;\}
$$

\}
$s \leftarrow(a+b) / 2 ; \quad t \leftarrow(c+d) / 2 ;$

## Note:

(1) The convex hull of a set of given points is the smallest convex set that contains the given points. If the number of given points is finite, then the convex hull is basically the set of all linear combinations of the given points. See the following figure for a 2D example of the convex hulls of five control points.

(2) For the definition of midpoint curve subdivision, please see slide 59 of "Cubic Bezier Curves and De Casteljau algorithm (recurrence formula)" on the left side of course website "Related Course Materials". Midpoint subdivision of bi-cubic surface patch is a simple extension of the curve case.
4. In 3D free-form deformation, after the manipulation of the 3D coordinate grid, the deformed
position of a vertex of the object is determined through a trivariate Bezier interpolation process. What is the reason in doing so (in your opinion) ? (10 points)

Sol.
Note that the shape of a Bezier curve reflects the shape of its control polygon and the shape of a Bezier surface patch reflects the shape of its control mesh. Similarly, the shape of a 3D Bezier solid reflects the shape of its control grid.

In our case, the initial shape of the trivariate Bezier solid coincides with the coordinate control grid (including all the interior points) because vertices of the coordinate grid are co-linear. Hence the trivariate Bezier solid contains the given object as a subset.

After the manipulation of the 3D coordinate grid, the trivariate Bezier solid has a new shape because the coordinate grid, acting as its control grid, changed. As pointed out above, the new shape of the trivariate Bezier solid reflects the new shape of the coordinate grid. Hence the new shape of the object whose vertices are points of the new trivariate Bezier solid would also reflect the new shape of the coordinate grid.

